Agenda Item 6

Overview and Scrutiny Commission

20 February 2018

Agenda item: Shared Services and Outsourced Services in Merton Task Group Wards: All

Subject:

Lead officer: Ged Curran, Chief Executive

Lead member: Cllr Allison, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance

Contact officer: Sophie Ellis, Assistant Director of Business Improvement

Recommendations:

- A. That the Commission comment on progress in the implementation of the agreed recommendations.
- B. That the Commission comment on the documents in Appendices B and C.

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1. The purpose of this report is to set out the Executive Response and Action Plan to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission to report on progress against the agreed recommendations of the Shared and Outsourced Services in Merton Task Group.
- 1.2. The draft guiding principles and business case guidance for services considering alternative delivery options are also presented for consideration and comment by the commission as per the Action Plan.

2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1. At their meeting on 14 November 2016 Cabinet considered the final report and accepted the recommendations resulting from the task group review of shared and outsourced services in Merton.
- 2.2. At the Overview and Scrutiny Commission meeting on 7 March 2017 the corresponding executive response and action plan was presented. At the Overview and Scrutiny Commission meeting on 6 July 2017 a progress report was presented, updating the Commission on work undertaken for each of the recommendations

3 EXECUTIVE RESPONSE

3.1. The table below provides a further update on each of the actions within the agreed plan.

3.2. The Commission are asked to comment on the draft guiding principles as set out in Appendix B (relating to Recommendation 3) and the toolkit as set out in Appendix C (relating to recommendation 4).

Recommendation	Action Agreed	Timeline	Updat
Recommendation 1 That the Corporate Management Team (CMT) should have a more clearly defined mandate and process to embed challenge on models of service delivery at a senior level within the organisation. This will ensure that there is more specific challenge to service managers as well as internal peer review.	As part of a planned review the TOM process is to be strengthened so that more direct, dedicated support – with facilitated sessions where required – to be made available to service managers as part of the TOM review/development process. DMTs will continue to routinely review (as part of their TOM action plan review) actions set out against the 'organisation' layer to review whether planned changes to delivery models need to be amended/updated. As part of the biannual reports already presented to Merton Improvement Board, DMTs will be asked to expressly report on progress against the 'organisation' layer and how it is being kept under review and MIB provide constructive challenge.	Late 2017	The biennial Target Operating Model refr December 2017. With oversight from Mer CMT the process has been strengthened corporate approach to the review of servi each DMT. CMT had previously issued a Statement of (service leads) of the need to challenge of Head of Commercial Services has product service leads on 'make or buy' reviews, we the TOM process as a crucial aspect of the The TOM guidance has been refreshed to service leads to expand on how reviews of will continue to be undertaken. The Head as Organisation Layer Lead), will act as a support departments through this process to plan a programme of make-or-buy revi year lifespan of the TOM as appropriate.
Recommendation 2 That decision making on the establishment of proposed shared and outsourced services is strengthened through the production of a standardised business case that is presented to the Corporate Management Team and to Cabinet (or the relevant individual Cabinet Member for smaller services) for approval. This business case should be clearly evidenced and should include financial modelling to set out options and alternatives as well as details of other expected benefits so that vigorous challenge can be provided prior to a formal decision being made.	A set of guiding principles will be created to inform and support the development of bespoke business cases, along with clear questions that must be answered within each business case.	June 2017	A set of guiding principles has been draft council – through discussions with officer established shared or outsourced service These principles have been designed to s case template that forms part of the Merte internal project management methodolog principles and techniques, and the busine appendices: a detailed financial appendix Use of the template together with the prin cases for proposed shared and outsource robust. Managers will have access to too issues, and decision-makers will be able provide challenge to the proposals.
Recommendation 3 That a draft of the business case template is brought to the Overview and Scrutiny Commission for discussion prior to finalising it.	The guiding principles and questions will be presented to OSC for discussion.	TBC with Head of Democratic Services	The business case template (including an presented with this update. See Appendix
Recommendation 4 That Cabinet should ensure there is support provided to service managers who are	A checklist will be developed drawing on the experience of services that have already transitioned to alternative delivery models.	August 2017	A toolkit for use by services considering a been drafted that signposts users to exist conversations with specific points of cont

ate

fresh process was launched in erton Improvement Board (MIB) and ed to ensure a consistently robust vice delivery under the direction of

t of Direction directing TOM Authors existing service delivery models. The luced a process and guidance for which are to be scheduled as part of the 'organisation' layer.

I to reflect an explicit requirement for s of delivery models have been and ad of Commercial Services (in her role s a dedicated point of contact to ess and work directly with service leads eviews for departments over the fivee.

afted based on our own learning as a ers within the organisation who have ces – and guidance from CIPFA.

o supplement the existing business erton Approach to Projects (MAP) ogy. MAP is based on PRINCE2 ness case includes two mandatory dix as well as benefit profile(s).

rinciples will ensure that business reed services will be standardised and pols guiding them through the relevant e to see the necessary information to

appendices) and guiding principles are dix A and B for details.

g a shared service arrangement has isting resources, suggests early ntact across the business, and

Recommendation	Action Agreed	Timeline	Update
exploring the feasibility of establishing a new shared or outsourced service so that these managers can draw on learning and expertise that already exists within the council. This should take the form of an on-line resource such as a checklist of issues to consider and contact details of officers who can provide advice and support. The resource should also include guidance on developing and complying with the standardised business case for the service as set out in recommendation 2 above.			provides further reading and tips. See App Guidance on developing and complying w available on the intranet.
Recommendation 5 That the Corporate Management Team should ensure that service managers have a mandatory appraisal objective to familiarise themselves with best practice elsewhere and consider how best to incorporate this in their service delivery.	CMT will continue to deliver the agreed programme of leadership development over the coming 18 months. The TOM development/refresh process will be refined for its next iteration to include more direct, dedicated support and challenge as per response to Recommendation 1 above.	Ongoing to mid 2018 June 2017	The programme of leadership development managers across the organisation. The TOM refresh guidance has been refin support and challenge as per response to
Recommendation 6 That the Corporate Management Team should ensure that a training or briefing resource is developed for officers in those corporate teams (such as HR, IT, finance and facilities) so that they understand the delivery model and likely support requirements of the council's shared services.	from services currently working in shared arrangements to develop a briefing resource for	July 2017	Interviews were carried out with managers Waste Services, Legal and Regulatory Se involved in discussions around moving to Although not all had decided to move to no enabled requirements to be captured for a Finance, IT and Facilities, as well as sugg need to be enhanced. The findings of these interviews have been for staff in those support services. Together reviewed by Corporate Services DMT for of part of the TOM process.
Recommendation 7 That the Overview and Scrutiny Commission should invite the Chief Executive to present a report annually to set out how challenge has been embedded, what choices have been made by service managers on models of service delivery, what changes resulted from the challenge process and what options were rejected and why.	The Chief Executive, working with CMT, will respond to the invitation with a report drawing on the mechanisms set out within this report to provide an overview of how alternative delivery models are being considered and changes resulting from this process.	July	This update, together with the previous up recommendation. Updates on choices for after the TOM process.
Recommendation 8 That the Overview and Scrutiny Commission (or relevant Panel) should receive a report on the proposed		Ongoing	CMT continues to discharge this recomme mechanisms which highlights to the Comr

ate

ppendix C for details.

with the business case template is

ent continues to be delivered to

fined to include more direct, dedicated to Recommendation 1 above.

ers from four service areas (Libraries, Services) who had already been to shared or outsourced services. In new arrangements, their experiences r a number of support services – HR, ggestions for where this support may

een combined into a briefing resource ther with the toolkit, this will be or completeness before publication as

update in July 2017, responds to this or service delivery will be reported

mendation through the forward plan mmission any significant decisions on

Recommendation	Action Agreed	Timeline	Updat
establishment of large or strategically important shared or outsourced services at a point in time when there is an opportunity to have some influence on its development. There should be further reports to review the operation, performance and budget of the service 15 months after the start date and when the agreement is due for review.		service reconfig	guration.

late

4 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

- 4.1. The work to develop guiding principles and supporting materials has involved officers across the organisation with experience of selecting and implementing alternative delivery models and a number of managers providing key corporate services.
- 4.2. The Commission are asked to comment on draft guiding principles and business case guidance for services considering alternative delivery options (Appendices B and C).

5 TIMETABLE

5.1. The table within this report sets out the timescales for delivery.

6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1. The council faces considerable financial pressure in current and future years. The delivery of the activities set out in this executive response will ensure the organisation continues to deliver services in the most efficient and effective way.

7 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. Changes to service delivery models will have legal and statutory implications (e.g. TUPE and delegation of statutory functions). Consideration of this will be incorporated within the proposed guidance/supporting information to be developed to ensure this is adequately accounted for and managed on a case by case basis.

8 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1. None for the purposes of this report.

9 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None for the purposes of this report.

10 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

10.1. Changes to service delivery models will require careful assessment and management of risk. Consideration of this will be incorporated within the

proposed guidance/supporting information to be developed to ensure this is adequately accounted for and managed on a case by case basis.

11 APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

- 11.1. Appendix A: Business Case (template, financial spreadsheet and benefit profile)
- 11.2. Appendix B: Guiding Principles for a Shared or Outsourced Service
- 11.3. Appendix C: Building a Shared or Outsourced Service Toolkit

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS

12.1. Report and recommendations arising from the scrutiny task group reviews of shared and outsourced services in Merton.

This page is intentionally left blank